	Case 2:10-cv-00935-JAD-NJK Document 96	Filed 12/31/12	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	*** EZDIEL DADADODT og Trugteg of the		
11	EZRIEL RAPAPORT, as Trustee of the RAPAPORT 2006 GRANTOR TRUST,		
12	Plaintiff,))) 2:10-ey-0	935-MMD-RJJ
13	VS.)	ated with:
14	vs.) 2:12-cv-5	
15	AVI SOFFER, an individual; DOES 1 through 5 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 5		
16	inclusive,		ORDER
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	Plaintiff Rapaport seeks the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, for the		
20	increased cost of litigating this case during the discovery phase pursuant to Rule 30 (d)(2) and		
21	rule 37 (a)(5)(A) and 28 U.S.C. §1927 The court conducted a hearing regarding the monetary		
22	sanctions on December 27, 2012.		
23	Soffer's attorney acknowledged that Lovato's hourly rate of \$250 per hour is reasonable		
24	for the work involved. The Defendant argued that the hours claimed were excessive and pointed		
25	to three specific examples. He also pointed out that the \$1500 previously awarded by the Curt		
26	(see, Minutes #65) should be deducted from the total claimed by the Plaintiff. Lovato agrees on		
27	the last point.		
28	Attempts to resolve the discovery disputes before filing the motions were unsuccessful		
	due to a lack of cooperation by Defendant's counsel. Defendant's failure to provide the requested		

Case 2:10-cv-00935-JAD-NJK Document 96 Filed 12/31/12 Page 2 of 2

discovery and the oppositions to the motives were not substantially justified. 1 2 Defendant could not identify, and the Court could not find, any other circumstances that 3 would make an award of expenses unjust. Defendant's conduct has multiplied the expenses to Plaintiff in this case. Defendant and 4 5 his counsel have acted in bad faith, vexatiously violating Fed. R. Civ. P. 1, as well as those Rules 6 directly implicated in the discovery efforts by the Plaintiff. Plaintiff, Rapaport, should not be 7 required to pay for these added expenses. 8 The Court discounts Plaintiff's request to allow for overlaps identified by the Defendant 9 and then subtracts the \$1500 sanction amount previously paid by Soffer. 10 Amount requested \$34,225.00 11 Less 15% 5,283.75 Subtotal 12 \$28,941.25 13 Less 1,500.00 \$27,441.25 14 Total 15 There is no basis to discount the claimed costs of #1,953.93. Therefore that amount is 16 granted. Good cause appearing therefore, 17 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that sanctions and costs due and payable to the Plaintiff is \$29,395.18. This amount shall be paid jointly and severally, by Defendant Soffer, his counsel 18 19 Efrem Rosenfeld and the law firm of Rosenfeld & Bauman on or before January 31, 2013. 20 DATED this 31st day of December, 2012 21 22 23 24 United States Magistrate Judge 25 26 27 28